MEGA JOURNAL OF CASE REPORTS

OPEN ACCESS

Review Article
Compiled Date: January 07, 2026

How BAHA's Osseointegration Affects Hearing: An Opinion Review of Monitoring Methods

Jacob Halevy-Politch[1*], Nahum Rosenberg[2] and Ilan Rusnak

[1]Aerospace Eng, Technion I.I.T, Technion City, Israel

[2]Specialists Center, National Insurance Institute, Israel

[3]Electrical Eng., Technion City, Israel

[*]**Corresponding author:** Jacob Halevy-Politch, D.Sc, Aerospace Eng, Technion I.I.T, Technion City, Haifa 32000, Israel

Abstract

Background: Bone Anchored Hearing Aid (BAHA) systems rely on effective osseointegration between the implant and surrounding skull bone for optimal sound transmission. The interface layer between the implant and bone undergoes dynamic changes during healing, potentially affecting acoustic performance.

Objective: To comprehensively review current methods for monitoring the osseointegration status of the interface layer between BAHA implants and the surrounding skull bone, and analyze how interface layer properties affect sound transmission quality.

Methods: We conducted a personal review of the literature, focusing on BAHA osseointegration monitoring techniques, sound transmission mechanics, and clinical implications. Key databases and relevant publications from 1985 to 2024 were examined, with emphasis on quantitative monitoring methods and their clinical applications.

Results: Real-Time (RT) ultrasound (US) monitoring and Resonance Frequency Analysis (RFA) with Implant Stability Quotient (ISQ) measurements have emerged as the most effective methods for assessing osseointegration status. Mathematical modeling demonstrated that sound distortion is inversely related to the interface layer stiffness, with increased distortion occurring when the interface remains partially fluid-filled.

Conclusions: Advanced monitoring methods, particularly ultrasound techniques, provide a quantitative real-time assessment of osseointegration progress. Early detection of inadequate healing allows timely intervention to prevent long-term deterioration hearing quality

Keywords: BAHA; Osseointegration, Ultrasound monitoring; Resonance frequency analysis; Sound transmission; Hearing aid

Introduction

Bone Anchored Hearing Aid (BAHA) systems represent a significant advancement in auditory rehabilitation for individuals with conductive hearing loss, mixed hearing loss, or single-sided deafness. Unlike conventional hearing aids that amplify sound through the ear canal, BAHA systems bypass the outer and middle ear by transmitting sound vibrations directly through the skull to the inner ear via bone conduction. The effectiveness of BAHA systems fundamentally depends on successful osseointegration, which is the biological process by which the titanium implant integrates with the surrounding bone tissue. This process creates a stable mechanical interface that facilitates efficient sound transmission from an external processor to the cochlea. However, the quality of the interface layer significantly affects the acoustic performance of the system. During the initial healing period following implantation, a thin interface layer exists between the implant surface and the skull bone. This layer undergoes progressive changes from an initial fluid-filled state to complete bone integration. The properties of this interface layer - including thickness, density, and mechanical stiffness - directly impact the sound transmission characteristics and, consequently, the hearing quality.

Previous foundational studies have established the importance of bone morphology and implant stability in clinical outcomes. Lekholm and Zarb (1985) characterized the influence of various bone structures on implant stability and established a classification system that is widely used in clinical practice. Subsequently, Sennerby and Meredith (1998) developed quantitative methods for measuring osseointegration using resonance frequency analysis (RFA), and Sennerby et al. (2000) further validated these approaches for implant stability assessment. These foundational studies have established a scientific basis for monitoring osseointegration status to optimize clinical outcomes. The challenge of assessing osseointegration status in Real-Time (RT) has led to the development of various monitoring techniques. Traditional imaging methods have limitations, including radiation exposure, lack of RT capability, and dependence on specialist interpretations. This has driven interest in alternative approaches, particularly ultrasound-based methods (Langton & Njeh, 2008; Rosenberg et al., 2014) and resonance frequency analysis (RFA) (O'Sullivan et al., 2000). Understanding the relationship between the interface layer properties and acoustic performance is crucial for optimizing BAHA outcomes. When the interface layer remains partially fluid-filled or exhibits inadequate stiffness, sound transmission can be compromised, leading to signal distortion and reduced hearing quality (Miyazaki et al., 2011). Conversely, successful osseointegration with a stiff and, well-integrated interface facilitates optimal sound transmission. This comprehensive review examines current methods for monitoring osseointegration in BAHA systems, analyzes the mechanical principles governing sound transmission through the implant-bone interface, and discusses the clinical implications of interface layer properties on hearing outcomes.

Methods

This comprehensive review synthesizes the current knowledge regarding osseointegration monitoring in BAHA systems and the impact on sound transmission. We examined the relevant literature from major medical databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, covering publications from 1985 to 2024. The review focused on peer-reviewed articles, clinical studies, and technical reports addressing methods for monitoring BAHA osseointegration, sound transmission mechanics in bone conduction systems, mathematical modeling of acoustic propagation through bone-implant interfaces, and clinical outcomes related to osseointegration quality.

The selected publications were analyzed for methodological approaches, key findings, and clinical relevance. Emphasis was placed on quantitative methods for assessing osseointegration and its correlation with acoustic performance. This review integrates findings from basic science research, clinical studies, and technological developments to provide a comprehensive understanding of current monitoring approaches and their clinical applications.

Results and Discussion

Monitoring Methods for Osseointegration Assessment

Resonance Frequency Analysis (RFA) and Implant Stability Quotient (ISQ): RFA has emerged as a widely accepted method for assessing implant stability in BAHA systems (Sennerby & Meredith, 1998). The technique utilizes the Osstell device to measure the resonance frequency of the transducer-implant-bone system, which is determined by the stiffness of the bone-implant interface and the distance from the transducer to the first bone-implant contact point (Sennerby et al., 2000). The ISQ, derived from resonance frequency measurements, provides a quantitative assessment of interface stability on a scale from 1 to 100. Higher ISQ values indicate greater stability and advanced osseointegration. Clinical studies have demonstrated that ISQ values vary significantly based on bone structure types, with Type II bone consistently showing higher values than Types III and IV bone structures (Christina et al., 2010).

Longitudinal studies revealed important temporal patterns in ISQ values during the healing process. Christina et al. (2010) demonstrated that significant differences in ISQ values between different bone types persisted up to 8 weeks post-implantation (P=0.01), after which the differences became statistically insignificant by week 12. This temporal pattern provides valuable insights into the osseointegration timeline and helps to establish monitoring protocols based on the original bone classification system developed by Lekholm and Zarb (1985). Clinical interpretation of ISQ values follows established guidelines, where values of 70 or higher indicate excellent stability suitable for immediate loading, while values between 60 and 69 suggest good stability, requiring close monitoring. ISQ values ranging from 50 to 59 indicate marginal stability with extended recommended healing, with values below 50 suggest poor stability requiring intervention (O'Sullivan et al., 2000). The reliability of RFA measurements has been validated across multiple studies, with inter-operator variability typically less than 5% when a proper technique is employed. The non-invasive nature and quantitative output of this method make it particularly valuable for routine clinical monitoring.

Ultrasound (US) Real-Time (RT) Monitoring: Ultrasound (US) monitoring techniques have shown considerable promise for real-time assessment of osseointegration status. Unlike conventional imaging methods, US provides immediate feedback during the surgical procedures and throughout the healing process. Recent advances by Halevy-Politch et al. (2020, 2024) have extensively investigated US applications for detecting interface stiffness changes and loosening during the healing process, building upon the earlier work by Rosenberg et al. (2014) who demonstrated the feasibility of intraoperative US monitoring. US monitoring offers several significant advantages, including RT assessment capability during and after surgery, non-ionizing radiation with an excellent safety profile, quantitative measurement of interface layer thickness and properties, direct correlation with mechanical properties of the interface, cost-effective and portable technology, and the ability to detect early complications before clinical symptoms appear (Halevy-Politch et. al., 2020). The development of specialized ultrasound techniques for trabecular bone assessment has been particularly valuable, as demonstrated by Rusnak et al. (2020), who showed that trabecular bone attenuation and velocity could be accurately assessed using ultrasound pulse-echoes.

Ultrasound parameters of particular clinical interest include interface layer thickness measured directly in millimeters, acoustic impedance indicating tissue density and stiffness, attenuation coefficient reflecting interface layer composition, and Speed of Sound (SOS) correlated with tissue mechanical properties (Langton & Njeh, 2008). This technology has evolved to include high-frequency transducers exceeding 15 MHz that provide enhanced resolution for detecting subtle interface changes. Halevy-Politch (2024) further advanced this field by developing methods for assessing SOS and attenuation in trabecular bone using US transmission in pulsed mode, providing more precise characterization of bone-implant interfaces. Clinical interpretation of US parameters follows established criteria where interface thickness less than 0.5 mm indicates excellent osseointegration, while thickness between 0.5 and 1.0 mm suggests good progression requiring continued monitoring. An interface thickness greater than 1.0 mm requires extended monitoring periods, and persistent fluid-filled spaces may indicate the need for intervention (Halevy-Politch & Craft, 2024). Increasing thickness over time suggests healing complications requiring immediate attention, as demonstrated in recent studies on implant-screw loosening detection (Halevy-Politch & Rusnak, 2024).

Conventional Imaging Methods: Traditional imaging approaches, including conventional radiography, Computed Tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), continue to play supportive roles in osseointegration assessments. However, these methods have several limitations, which restrict their use as primary monitoring tools. Conventional imaging methods present several challenges, including radiation exposure concerns with X-ray and CT imaging, lack of real-time capability requiring scheduled appointments, dependence on specialized facilities and trained personnel, delayed interpretation and reporting processes, limited sensitivity to early interface changes, and higher costs than RFA and US methods. Despite these limitations, conventional imaging remains valuable for specific clinical scenarios, such as suspected complications, pre-surgical planning, or when detailed anatomical information is required (Craft et al., 2024). The integration of multiple imaging modalities often provides the most comprehensive assessment of osseointegration status, particularly when the initial monitoring suggests potential problems. Recent advances in neurosurgical monitoring have demonstrated the potential of RT assessment using modified techniques (Zaaroor et al., 2021), which may have applications in BAHA monitoring protocols.

Mathematical Modeling of Sound Transmission

Acoustic Wave Propagation through Interface Layer: The propagation of acoustic waves through the bone-implant interface can be described using the established principles of wave mechanics. Understanding these principles is essential for optimizing the BAHA system performance and interpreting monitoring results, as established by fundamental research on acoustic wave propagation through biological tissues (Langton & Njeh, 2008).

The US pressure amplitude V_x propagating in the x-direction through the interface layer is described by Equation (1):

$$V_x = V_0 \exp[-\mu(f)x] \qquad (1)$$

Where V_0 represents the reference ultrasound pressure amplitude at origin measured in Pascals [Pa], μ(f) denotes the frequency-dependent attenuation coefficient in Nepers per meter [N/m], x indicates the distance through interface layer in meters [m], and f represents the frequency in Hertz [Hz]. This exponential decay relationship demonstrates how the interface layer thickness directly affects signal transmission, with thicker layers resulting in greater attenuation, as validated by experimental studies of trabecular bone properties (Rusnak et. al., 2020).

Interface Layer Transmission and Reflection: The interface layer exhibits distinct transmission and reflection properties at the bone-water and water-bone boundaries. These properties are fundamental to understanding how osseointegration quality affects acoustic performance, building upon the established principles of acoustic impedance matching in biological systems (Langton & Njeh, 2008).

The transmission coefficients from bone-to-water (T_{b2w}) and water-to-bone (T_{w2b}), along with the corresponding reflection coefficients (R_{b2w} and R_{w2b}), are related by the acoustic impedance relationship, in Eq. (2):

$$Z_{w2b} = -Z_{b2w} \qquad (2)$$

Where Z represents the acoustic impedance mismatch between materials. This relationship demonstrates why impedance matching between the implant and bone is crucial for optimal sound transmission, as confirmed by recent US studies on cortical and trabecular bone interfaces (Halevy-Politch & Craft, 2024).

Multiple Reflection Analysis: In clinical BAHA applications, multiple reflections within the interface layer can significantly after the sound quality. For a parallel homogeneous interface layer of thickness d, the ratio between the incident and received pressure amplitudes, accounting for multiple reflections and transmissions, is expressed as follows:

$$\frac{P_{received}}{P_{incident}} = \frac{T_{b2w} T_{w2b} \exp[-\mu(f)d}{1 - R_{b2w} R_{w2b} \exp[-\mu(f)d} \qquad (3)$$

This equation demonstrates how interface layer thickness (d) and attenuation properties (μ(f)) directly influence signal transmission efficiency. As osseointegration progresses and the interface layer becomes thinner and more ossified, both exponential terms improve, resulting in better sound transmission. This mathematical relationship has been validated through experimental studies using ultrasound pulse-echo techniques for trabecular bone assessment (Rusnak et al., 2020).

Complex Geometry Considerations: Real-world BAHA implant geometries often involve oblique incident angles and irregular surfaces. For more complex geometries with oblique incidence, where the incident beam is at angle θ_1 and the refracted beam is at angle θ_2, the acoustic intensity becomes:

$$I = |T|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ R^{2n} \exp[-2\mu(T) \frac{d}{\cos(\vartheta_2)} \right\} \cos(n\phi) \quad (4)$$

Where I represents the acoustic intensity measured in watts per square meter [W/m^2], T denotes the transmission coefficient, R is the reflection coefficient, n represents the number of internal reflections, φ indicates the phase angle between successive transmissions and reflections measured in radians (rad), d represents the interface layer thickness in meters [m], and μ(f) denotes the frequency-dependent attenuation coefficient in nepers per meter [N/m]. This complex analysis incorporates principles established in acoustic signal processing research (Miyazaki et al., 2011) and has been validated through ultrasound monitoring studies (Halevy-Politch, 2024).

Clinical Application to BAHA Systems: These mathematical relationships specifically describe the conditions in the thin layer between the BAHA implant and surrounding skull bone. During the healing process, the interface layer properties undergo dynamic changes from a fluid-filled state to a solid, osseointegrated state, as documented in longitudinal monitoring studies (Halevy-Politch et al., 2020).

In clinical practice, the transformation rate is unpredictable and requires continuous monitoring. Moreover, the osseointegration process may sometimes reverse, with the interface becoming more fluid-filled again, causing distortions in audio signal transmission (Halevy-Politch & Rusnak, 2024). This bi-directional nature of healing emphasizes the importance of ongoing monitoring rather than single-point assessments, as demonstrated in studies on implant-screw loosening detection.

Key clinical correlations derived from mathematical modeling demonstrate that decreased interface thickness leads to exponentially improved signal transmission, whereas increased interface stiffness results in reduced attenuation coefficient values. Better impedance matching reduces reflection coefficients, minimizing signal loss, and complete osseointegration virtually eliminates multiple reflections. Additionally, frequency-dependent effects indicate that higher frequencies are more sensitive to interface changes, which has important implications for speech clarity and sound quality (Miyazaki et al., 2011).

Clinical Implications and Monitoring Protocols

Relationship between Interface Properties and Hearing Quality: Clinical observations consistently confirm theoretical predictions regarding the interface layer properties and sound transmission quality. Patients with well-osseointegrated implants report significantly better hearing clarity, reduced background noise, and improved speech discrimination than those with inadequate osseointegration, as validated by studies correlating ISQ measurements with clinical outcomes (Christina et al., 2010). The progressive improvement in hearing quality during the healing process correlates directly with measurable changes in the interface properties. Increased ISQ values indicate improved mechanical stability (Sennerby et al., 2000), whereas reduced interface layer thickness measured by ultrasound demonstrates structural osseointegration (Halevy-Politch et al., 2020). These objective measurements correspond to the enhanced acoustic coupling demonstrated through improved audiometric thresholds and reduced signal distortion in acoustic analysis.

Documented clinical improvements with successful osseointegration include enhanced speech discrimination scores with typical improvements of 15-25%, reduced background noise perception, improved sound localization abilities, decreased listening effort and fatigue, and enhanced overall quality of life measures. These improvements directly correlate with mathematical predictions from acoustic modeling, confirming the clinical relevance of interface layer optimization, as demonstrated in comparative studies of implant stability measurements (O'Sullivan et al., 2000).

Early Detection and Intervention Strategies

Real-time monitoring capabilities enable the early detection of healing complications before they become clinically apparent or irreversible. When monitoring reveals inadequate progression toward osseointegration, several evidence-based interventions may be considered, building upon established protocols for implant stability assessment (Sennerby & Meredith, 1998). Immediate interventions for suboptimal osseointegration include extended healing periods with delayed loading for an additional 4-8 weeks, modified loading protocols with gradual force application, enhanced oral hygiene protocols and anti-inflammatory management, and nutritional optimization to support bone healing. These interventions are guided by quantitative thresholds established through longitudinal ISQ monitoring studies (Christina et al., 2010).

Secondary interventions for persistent problems may involve revised surgical techniques with modified implant placement, bone grafting, augmentation procedures to improve local bone quality, implant replacement after appropriate tissue healing periods typically lasting 6-12 weeks, or alternative implant designs and surface modifications (Craft et al., 2024). The decision-making process is enhanced by real-time ultrasound monitoring capabilities that can immediately detect complications (Rosenberg & Halevy-Politch, 2017). Early intervention based on objective monitoring data can prevent long-term complications, reduce the need for revision procedures, and optimize final clinical outcomes. The cost-effectiveness of intensive monitoring is supported by reduced revision rates and improved patient satisfaction, making investment in comprehensive monitoring protocols economically justified, as demonstrated in feasibility studies of intraoperative ultrasound monitoring (Rosenberg et al., 2014).

Comprehensive Monitoring Protocol

Based on the current evidence and clinical experience, an optimal monitoring protocol should integrate multiple assessment methods and follow a structured timeline approach with clearly defined phases and decision points, incorporating insights from both RFA studies (Sennerby et al., 2000) and ultrasound monitoring research (Halevy-Politch et al., 2020). The immediate post-implantation phase, covering the first two weeks, should include baseline ISQ measurements within 24 h of implantation, initial ultrasound assessment of interface layer characteristics using techniques validated for trabecular bone evaluation (Rusnak et al., 2020), daily clinical examination for signs of infection or healing complications, and comprehensive patient education regarding the healing process and activity restrictions.

During the early healing period spanning weeks 2 through 8, practitioners should conduct bi-weekly ISQ measurements to track stability progression according to established protocols (Christina et al., 2010), weekly ultrasound monitoring to assess interface layer changes using advanced signal processing techniques (Halevy-Politch, 2024), regular clinical examination for soft tissue healing and implant integration, and trend analysis of progression patterns rather than relying on isolated measurements. The intermediate healing period from weeks 8 to 12 requires weekly ISQ and ultrasound assessments, evaluation of loading readiness based on objective criteria established by bone structure classification (Lekholm & Zarb, 1985), final stability confirmation before processor attachment, and patient preparation for device activation with appropriate counseling and expectation management.

Long-term follow-up extending from 3 to 12 months and beyond should incorporate monthly monitoring for the first 6 months post-activation, quarterly assessments for months 6 through 12, annual comprehensive evaluation thereafter, and immediate assessment whenever patients report hearing changes or concerns. This extended monitoring protocol is particularly important given the potential for delayed complications as documented in implant loosening studies (Halevy-Politch & Rusnak, 2024). Evidence-based decision thresholds guide the clinical management of each phase. ISQ values below 50 at 4 weeks mandate extension of the healing period based on established stability criteria (O'Sullivan et al., 2000), while interface thicknesses greater than 1.0 mm at 8 weeks should prompt consideration of intervention options guided by ultrasound monitoring protocols (Halevy-Politch & Craft, 2024). The lack of improvement in ISQ over consecutive 4-week periods requires comprehensive evaluation for complications, and declining ISQ values at any time necessitate immediate clinical assessment and imaging. Patient-reported hearing deterioration requires urgent monitoring and evaluation to identify potential complications, incorporating lessons learned from neurosurgical monitoring applications (Zaaroor et al., 2021).

Technological Advances and Future Directions

The field of osseointegration monitoring continues to evolve rapidly, with several promising technological advances that may further improve BAHA outcomes and clinical decision-making capabilities. Advanced signal processing techniques represent a significant area of development. Modern algorithms for ultrasound analysis and ISQ interpretation now incorporate spectral analysis, machine-learning-enhanced pattern recognition, and multi-frequency analysis (Halevy-Politch, 2024). These advances allow for more sensitive detection of subtle changes in osseointegration status and improved prediction of healing outcomes, potentially identifying problems before they become clinically apparent, building upon foundational work in broadband ultrasonic attenuation measurements (Langton & Njeh, 2008).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) applications are being developed to predict osseointegration outcomes based on early monitoring data, patient demographics, and surgical factors. These systems can potentially identify patients at risk of healing complications before they become clinically apparent, enabling proactive intervention strategies that could prevent failures and optimize outcomes. Recent applications in neurosurgical monitoring have demonstrated the potential of AI-enhanced real-time assessments (Halevy-Politch, 2024). Multi-modal monitoring integration represents another promising direction in which research is ongoing into integrating multiple assessment techniques, including ISQ combined with ultrasound, impedance analysis, and acoustic testing for comprehensive evaluation. This approach may improve diagnostic accuracy and reduce the likelihood of missing early complications by providing redundant confirmation of osseointegration status, as suggested by comparative studies of different monitoring approaches (O'Sullivan et al., 2000).

Personalized medical approaches may revolutionize monitoring protocols by customizing them based on individual patient factors, including age, bone quality, medical history, genetic markers, and previous healing responses. This personalization could optimize the monitoring frequency and intervention thresholds for each patient, potentially improving outcomes while reducing unnecessary monitoring burden, guided by bone structure classification systems (Lekholm & Zarb, 1985) and individual healing characteristics. Wireless and implantable monitoring systems represent an exciting frontier for continuous assessment. The development of implantable sensors for continuous osseointegration monitoring without external intervention could provide real-time feedback on the interface status and alert clinicians to complications immediately, potentially preventing failures through immediate intervention. This technology builds on advances in intraoperative monitoring systems (Rosenberg & Halevy-Politch, 2017) and remote monitoring capabilities (Zaaroor et al., 2021).

Enhanced imaging technologies continue to advance, with developments in high-resolution micro-CT, optical coherence tomography, and enhanced ultrasound systems being developed specifically for osseointegration assessment. These technologies may provide unprecedented details regarding the interface layer structure and composition, enabling more precise monitoring and intervention decisions, advancing beyond the current capabilities demonstrated in trabecular bone assessment studies (Rusnak et al., 2020).

Limitations

This comprehensive review has several limitations that should be acknowledged when interpreting findings and recommendations. Literature and evidence limitations present significant challenges owing to the heterogeneity in study designs, patient populations, monitoring techniques, and outcome measures across the available literature. This variability limits the ability to make definitive comparative statements about different monitoring approaches, and may affect the generalizability of specific threshold values across different populations and clinical settings, as noted in comparative studies of implant stability measurement methods (O'Sullivan et al., 2000).

Technology evolution is another important limitation, as the rapid pace of technological advancement in monitoring equipment means that specific device capabilities, measurement accuracies, and clinical thresholds may change as technology improves. Some findings regarding older monitoring systems may not apply to current-generation equipment, and future technological advances may render some current recommendations obsolete, particularly given the recent developments in ultrasound monitoring capabilities (Halevy-Politch, 2024). Long-term outcome data limitations restrict the understanding of the clinical significance of monitoring findings because of the limited availability of extended follow-up studies exceeding 5 years. The relationship between early osseointegration parameters and long-term BAHA performance requires further investigation to establish definitive correlations between the monitoring results and ultimate clinical success, as acknowledged in longitudinal ISQ studies (Christina et al., 2010).

Standardization challenges affect the reproducibility and comparability of monitoring results owing to the lack of universally accepted protocols, measurement techniques, and interpretation criteria across different institutions and practitioners. This limitation emphasizes the need for standardized guidelines and training programs to ensure the consistent application of monitoring techniques, building upon established frameworks for resonance frequency analysis (Sennerby & Meredith, 1998). Cost-effectiveness analysis limitations make it difficult to provide definitive recommendations regarding the economic value of intensive monitoring protocols versus standard care, particularly in resource-limited settings, where the cost-benefit ratio may differ significantly from well-resourced healthcare systems. This gap in economic evaluation remains despite the demonstrated feasibility of various monitoring approaches (Rosenberg et al., 2014).

Conclusions

This comprehensive review demonstrates that successful BAHA system performance critically depends on achieving optimal osseointegration between the implant and surrounding skull bone. The interface layer properties, particularly thickness, stiffness, and composition, directly influence sound transmission quality, with well-integrated interfaces providing superior acoustic performance and patient satisfaction, as established through decades of research from foundational work by Lekholm and Zarb (1985) to recent advances in US monitoring (Halevy-Politch et al., 2020). Advanced monitoring methods, particularly RT US techniques combined with ISQ measurements, offer quantitative and objective assessments of osseointegration. These technologies enable the early detection of healing complications and provide the foundation for evidence-based intervention decisions, as demonstrated by validation studies (Sennerby et al., 2000; Rusnak et al., 2020). The integration of multiple monitoring modalities appears to provide superior diagnostic accuracy compared with single-method approaches, justifying investment in comprehensive monitoring protocols.

Mathematical modeling of sound transmission through the bone-implant interface provides a crucial theoretical understanding of how interface properties affect acoustic performance. This relationship demonstrates that as the interface layer transitions from a fluid-filled state to complete osseointegration, sound transmission improves because of the reduced thickness, better impedance matching, and decreased multiple reflections (Langton & Njeh, 2008; Miyazaki et al., 2011). This understanding supports the clinical observation that monitoring and optimizing osseointegration directly improve hearing outcomes. The clinical implementation of systematic monitoring protocols, incorporating both ultrasound and ISQ assessments with defined decision thresholds, can significantly improve BAHA outcomes. The evidence supports specific clinical targets where ISQ values of 70 or higher (Christina et al., 2010) and interface thickness less than 0.5 mm (Halevy-Politch & Craft, 2024) generally indicate readiness for full device loading. However, these thresholds should be interpreted within the context of individual patient factors and healing progression patterns rather than as absolute determinants.

Future developments in monitoring technology, artificial intelligence applications, and personalized medicine approaches promise to further enhance the precision and reliability of osseointegration assessments (Halevy-Politch, 2024). The integration of multiple monitoring modalities, predictive algorithms, and continuous monitoring systems will likely transform clinical decision-making and improve patient outcomes, while potentially reducing costs by preventing complications and revision procedures. The evidence strongly supports the conclusion that rigorous, systematic monitoring of osseointegration status is essential for maximizing the BAHA system performance and patient satisfaction. Early detection and appropriate intervention for inadequate osseointegration can prevent long-term complications, reduce revision surgery rates, and optimize hearing outcomes (Halevy-Politch & Rusnak, 2024). As monitoring technologies continue to advance, the ability to personalize treatment protocols and predict outcomes will further improve the success of BAHA rehabilitation.

The field would benefit from standardized monitoring protocols, long-term outcome studies, and comprehensive cost-effectiveness analyses to guide clinical practice and healthcare policy decisions. Continued research into the fundamental mechanisms of osseointegration and their relationship with acoustic performance will support the development of more effective monitoring and intervention strategies, ultimately improving outcomes for patients requiring BAHA rehabilitation.

References

1. Christina, P. C., Sin, N., & Lang, P. (2010). Factors influencing resonance frequency analysis assessed by Osstell mentor during implant tissue integration: I. Instrumentation positioning, bone structure, implant length. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 21(6), 598-604.
2. Craft, A., et al. (2024). Implant surgery: Anatomical and diagnostic considerations in the lower jaw. Journal of Medical Case Reports and Case Studies, 5(68).
3. Halevy-Politch, J., & Craft, A. (2024). US detection of CB layer within a TB. Journal of Clinical Images & Medical Case Reports, 5.
4. Halevy-Politch, J. (2024). Intraoperative monitoring and guiding system for neurosurgery. International Journal of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports.
5. Halevy-Politch, J. (2024). SOS and attenuation in trabecular bone assessed from US transmission in pulsed mode. H. Scholar – Journal of Data Science and Modern Techniques, 3.
6. Halevy-Politch, J., & Rusnak, I. (2024). Implant-screw loosening: Review of the existing methods and their applications. Journal of Clinical Images and Medical Case Reports.
7. Halevy-Politch, J., & Rusnak, I. (2024). Implant-screw loosening – Part 2: US monitoring proposals. Journal of Clinical Images and Medical Case Reports, 5.
8. Halevy-Politch, J., Rusnak, I., & Rosenberg, N. (2020). Novel intraoperative US methods to assess the SOS in trabecular bone. Baltica, 33(7).
9. Halevy-Politch, J., Zaaroor, M., Sinai, A., & Constantinescu, M. (2020). New US device versus imaging US to assess tumor-in-brain. Chinese Neurosurgical Journal, 2020, 1-13.
10. Langton, C. M., & Njeh, C. F. (2008). The measurement of broadband ultrasonic attenuation in cancellous bone – A review of the science and technology. IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control, 55(7), 1546-1554.

11. Lekholm, U., & Zarb, G. A. (1985). Patient selection and preparation. In P. I. Brånemark, G. A. Zarb, & T. Albrektsson (Eds.), Tissue Integrated Prostheses: Osseointegration in Clinical Dentistry (pp. 199-210). Quintessence Publishing.
12. Miyazaki, R., Saruwatari, H., &Shikano, K. (2011). Theoretical analysis of musical noise and speech distortion in structure-generalized parametric blind spatial subtraction array. INTERSPEECH, Florence, Italy, August 28-31, 2011.
13. O'Sullivan, D., Sennerby, L., & Meredith, N. (2000). Measurements comparing the initial stability of five designs of dental implants: A human cadaver study. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research, 2(2), 85-92.
14. Rosenberg, N., et al. (2014). Intraosseous monitoring and guiding by ultrasound: A feasibility study. Ultrasonics, 54, 710-719.
15. Rosenberg, N., & Halevy-Politch, J. (2017). Intraosseous monitoring of drilling in lumbar vertebrae by ultrasound: An experimental feasibility study. PLOS ONE.
16. Rusnak, I., Rosenberg, N., & Halevy-Politch, J. (2020). Trabecular bone attenuation and velocity assessed by ultrasound pulse-echoes. Applied Acoustics, 157, 107007.
17. Sennerby, L., & Meredith, N. (1998). Resonance frequency analysis: Measuring implant stability and osseointegration. Compendium of Continuing Education in Dentistry, 19(5), 493-498, 500, 502.
18. Sennerby, L., et al. (2000). Osstell device measurements in implant stability assessment. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 11(2), 85-92.
19. Zaaroor, M., Sviri, G., Sinai, A., & Halevy-Politch, J. (2021). Intraoperative spinal cord remote monitoring with a modified A-scope. Journal of Clinical Case Reports, 9(7), 1219.

Citation of this Article

Halevy-Politch J, Rosenberg N and Rusnak I. How BAHA's Osseointegration Affects Hearing: An Opinion Review of Monitoring Methods. Mega J Case Rep. 2026;9(1):2001-2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18172755

Copyright